



Republic of the Philippines DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND HIGHWAYS OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Manila



SUBJECT : MECHANICS OF RANKING OFFICES AND PERSONNEL AS BASIS FOR THE GRANT OF PERFORMANCE-BASED BONUS (PBB) PURSUANT TO E.O. 80, S. 2012, FOR FY 2013

In connection with the implementation of a performance-based incentive system in this Department pursuant to E.O. No. 80 dated 20 July 2012, the following mechanics of ranking offices and personnel as basis for the grant of **Performance-Based Bonus (PBB)** for **FY 2013** are hereby prescribed:

EVALUATION OF OFFICES

The implementing offices (Regional Offices and District Engineering Offices) shall be ranked based on their respective performance and accomplishments (of set targets) for CY 2013 using the following criteria: *a) Construction Accomplishment; b) Quality Control; c) Maintenance; d) Absorptive Capacity;* and *e) Procurement.* "*Supervision of DEOs*" is another additional criterion in evaluating the performance of each Regional Office. Except "*Maintenance*" and "*Procurement*", the same criteria shall also be applied in evaluating the performance of each Project Management Office (PMO).

On the other hand, the performance of the offices in the Central Office (OSEC Proper, Bureaus and Services) shall be evaluated based on the targets each office has accomplished in accordance with their respective approved Annual Goals and Operations Plans for CY 2013, and confirmed by the Management Committee (MANCOM).

Only the offices within the Department that achieved at least 90% of their respective targets shall be entitled to the PBB at varying amounts. The Secretary shall force-rank the offices according to their performance following a normal distribution.

Distribution of Performance of Offices/Delivery Units		
Rating/Category	Distribution	
Best	10%	
Better	25%	
Good	65%	

EVALUATION OF PERSONNEL

The personnel shall be ranked based on their individual performance targets, which must be aligned with the office performance targets, they have committed and accomplished for the last two (2) rating periods using the Department's existing and established Performance Evaluation Systems (PES) categorized as follows:

- Management by Objectives and Results Evaluation (MORE) 1st and 2nd levels
- Performance Appraisal System for District Engineers (PADE) District Engineers
- Career Executive Service Performance Evaluation System (CESPES) 3rd level

D.O. No. 104 s.2014

Mechanics of Ranking Offices and Personnel as Basis for the Grant of Performance-Based Bonus (PBB) Pursuant to E.O. 80, s. 2012, for FY 2013 Page 2 of 2

The personnel's performance outputs shall be evaluated based on their performance ratings for the last two (2) semesters as reflected in their respective *Performance Appraisal Reports* (PARs) in the *MORE* and *PADE*, and for *CY 2013* for *CESPES*. Employees in the offices that ranked Good, Better and Best shall be entitled to PBB in varying rates provided they got a performance rating of at least Satisfactory, and that they should be in the service as of 30 November 2013 and must have rendered eleven (11) months of service for the year. Those who have rendered at least six (6) months but less than eleven (11) months shall be entitled to pro-rated PBB as follows:

Length of Service	% of PBB	
10 months but < 11 months	90%	
9 months but < 10 months	80%	
8 months but < 9 months	70%	
7 months but < 8 months	60%	
6 months but < 7 months	50%	

The Director concerned shall force-rank all their employees within the office according to their performance following a normal distribution.

Distribution of Performance Categories of Personnel in Every Delivery Unit				
Rating/Category of Personnel	For Offices Ranked "Best and Better"	For Offices Ranked "Good"		
Best	15%	10%		
Better	30%	25%		
Good	55%	65%		

The rate of PBB Incentive shall be as follows:

Department of Public Works and Highways Office of the Secretary

WIN4L06321

Rates of Performance-Based Bonus Incentives				
Performance Categories of Offices/Delivery Units	Performance Categories of Personnel			
	Best Performer 15%	Better Performer 30%	Good Performer 55%	
Best Office (10%)	35,000	20,000	10,000	
Better Office (25%)	25,000	13,500	7,000	
	Best Performer 10%	Better Performer 25%	Good Performer 65%	
Good Office (65%)	15,000	10,000	5,000	

This Order, which supersedes previous issuances to the contrary, shall cover the performance of offices and employees for CY 2013.

ROGELIO4 SINGSON

Secretary

4.3.1 CCA/ZFF