



April 7, 2017

MEMORANDUM

FOR :

ROMEO S. MOMO, CESO I

Undersecretary for Luzon Operations
Officer-In-Charge
This Department

This has reference to the memorandum dated March 15, 2017 from **Regional Dir. Danilo E. Deguito**, DPWH-Region IV-B, submitting the request for modification on the project listed below:

LOCATION	Project Description	UACS No. 165003015100175				
Oriental Mindoro	As per GAA		As modified	Original	New	Physical Target/ Cost('000)
	MFO 1 – National Road Network Services – Network Development – Improvement/ Widening of National Roads – Secondary – Calapan South Road –	[Road Widening] K0085+682 – K0085+737, K0085+938 – K0086+336, K0086+657 – K0086+846, K0086+887 – K0087+364, K0087+473 – K0087+492, K0087+738 – K0087+753, K0087+861 – K0088+690, K0089+171 – K0089+764, K0090+287 – K0090+390, K0091+578 – K0091+920, K0092+540 – K0092+720, K0093+460 – K0094+160	MFO 1 – National Road Network Services – Network Development – Improvement/ Widening of National Roads – Secondary – Calapan South Road –	Unit Cost ('000): P16,750.419/ In-km	Unit Cost ('000): P12,153.62/ In-km	Est. Cost ('000): P100,000
	Allocation ('000): P100,000					
Reason/s for Modification: Modification was sought due to increase in physical target based on approved POW and DUPA that was made consistent to the provision of DO 32, s. 2011 and to fully utilize fund allocation. Change in station limit was due to the anticipation of the RROW problem that may impede the project implementation.						

Based on our evaluation, the submitted requests for modification of the said projects are in order; hence, approval is hereby recommended to the Honorable Secretary.

EUGENIO R. RIFO, JR.
Assistant Secretary for Luzon Operations

APPROVED/DISAPPROVED

ROMEO S. MOMO, CESO I

Undersecretary for Luzon Operations
Officer-In-Charge



Form for Evaluation of Modification or Realignment Request (2017, version 2.1)

A. GENERAL		
1. REGION	2. DEO	3. LEGISLATIVE DISTRICT
REGION IV-B (MIMAROPA)	SOUTHERN MINDORO	DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN MINDORO
B. ORIGINAL PROJECT		C. NEW PROJECT

[illegible]

14. PROJECT LOCATION (Must be defined in strict accordance with DO 65 Series 2014)		28. PROJECT LOCATION (Must be defined in strict accordance with DO 65 Series 2014)	
Start X Click here to enter text.	End X Click here to enter text.	Start X Click here to enter text.	End X Click here to enter text.
Start Y Click here to enter text.	End Y Click here to enter text.	Start Y Click here to enter text.	End Y Click here to enter text.
15. ROAD CLASSIFICATION (if applicable)		29. ROAD CLASSIFICATION (if applicable)	
16. IMPLEMENTING OFFICE (Record the Implementing Office of the original project)		30. IMPLEMENTING OFFICE (Record the Implementing Office of the new project)	
17. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (PIP)		31. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (PIP)	
Planned Start Date	Planned End Date	Planned Start Date	Planned End Date
March 21, 2017	December 15, 2017	April 06, 2017	December 29, 2017
32. OVERLAP?		33. WARRANTY	
NO			
D. EVALUATION & JUSTIFICATION			
34a. Existing Surface Type (from RBIA)		34a. Existing Surface Type (from RBIA)	
Click here to enter text.		Click here to enter text.	
34b. Roughness (IRI) (from RBIA)		34b. Roughness (IRI) (from RBIA)	
Click here to enter text.		Click here to enter text.	
34c. RoCOND (from RBIA)		34c. RoCOND (from RBIA)	
Click here to enter text.		Click here to enter text.	
34d. General Bridge Type (from BMS)		34d. General Bridge Type (from BMS)	
Click here to enter text.		Click here to enter text.	
34e. Bridge Needs Ratio (BNR) (from BMS)		34e. Bridge Needs Ratio (BNR) (from BMS)	
Click here to enter text.		Click here to enter text.	
34f. Existing Surface Type (from RBIA)		34f. Existing Surface Type (from RBIA)	
Click here to enter text.		Click here to enter text.	
34g. Volume Capacity Ratio (VCR) (from RBIA)		34g. Volume Capacity Ratio (VCR) (from RBIA)	
Click here to enter text.		Click here to enter text.	
34h. Endorsement of Regional Development Council (RDC)		34h. Endorsement of Regional Development Council (RDC)	
Click here to enter text.		Click here to enter text.	
34.i. Feasibility and Other Technical Studies for Bridges		34.i. Feasibility and Other Technical Studies for Bridges	
Click here to enter text.		Click here to enter text.	
FLOOD CONTROL		FLOOD CONTROL	

34j. Project Impact Analysis

34k. Master Plan

35. JUSTIFICATION

1. The increase in physical target from 5.97 Lane-km. is due to projected increase in cost per km. based from the approved POW for CY-2016 project, thus additional sections have to be paved to fully utilize the allocated amount of P100.0 M.
2. The change in station limit is the result of increase in length and in anticipation to the Right of Way problem due to obstruction of properties and other structures are that may impede the implementation of the project.
3. The preparation of POW and DUPA was made consistent to the provision of DO 32, s. 2011.

36. GEOTAGGED PHOTOS SUBMITTED

NO YES

37. EVALUATED BY:

GODOFREDO S. DAGDAG JR., ENGINEER III
Chief Planning and Programming Section

E. REVIEW AND APPROVAL

REVIEWED:

RENATO L. ESCUADERO, ENGINEER V
Chief Planning and Design Division

DATE: Click here to enter a date.

RECOMMENDED:

DANILO E. DEQUITO, CESO III
Regional Director

DATE: Click here to enter a date.

NOTED:

ROMEO S. MOMO, CESO I
Undersecretary for Operations

DATE: Click here to enter a date.

ENDORSED /
APPROVED:

MARK A. VILLAR
Secretary of Department of Public Works and Highways

DATE: Click here to enter a date.